



Regular City Council Meeting Minutes
City Hall Council Chambers, 2660 Civic Center Drive
Monday, January 30, 2023

1. Roll Call

Mayor Roe called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 p.m. Voting and Seating Order: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe. City Manager Patrick Trudgeon and City Attorney Rachel Tierney were also present.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Approve Agenda

City Manager Trudgeon requested removal of Item 7E (Consider Approval of Payoff of Interfund between PMP and Shopping Center Funds with Use of Excess Cash Reserve Fund) for future consideration.

Councilmember Strahan requested removal of Item 10E (Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 1901 Ryan Avenue), 10F (Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 395 Woodhill Drive) and 10G (Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 770 Heinel Drive) for separate consideration.

Mayor Roe asked if there was anyone in the audience that wished to have a Consent Agenda item removed for separate consideration. No one responded.

Strahan moved, Etten seconded, approval of the agenda as amended.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

4. Public Comment

Mayor Roe called for public comment by members of the audience on any non-agenda items.

Peter Christ, Lead Pastor at Prince of Peace Lutheran Church, stated he was at the meeting to introduce himself and to have the Council put a face to his name and the congregation. He commended the Council for the vision and foresight that the City of Roseville has taken through its Police Department to provide the City with a homeless liaison. He explained their church has been experiencing the changing dynamics of this neighborhood and the needs of the neighbors. He stated that their parking lot has been playing host to the rising population of the homeless. There are very few nights when there is not someone spending the night in their parking lot. He indicated the church has been wrestling with how to be helpful. Carrie's work with the Police Department has been invaluable and she has been a fantastic resource to the church in this process. He explained that earlier in the year Ms.

McCollor asked the church to help the City, the Police Department in particular, as they were trying to attend to the matter of homelessness, this growing matter of homelessness in their midst and she asked the church to provide shelter for a place for someone with their RV to come and take advantage and use their parking lot and that has led to a really rewarding relationship in the process and has really opened up the eyes of his congregation to the needs of this community and has put them on this journey they are slowly discovering. He explained he was at the meeting to invite the Council to come over the Prince of Peace Church and see what is transpiring. He noted there will also be a more formal invitation to the City to come and visit,

5. Recognitions, Donations, and Communications

a. Black History Month Proclamation

Mayor Roe read the Black History Month Proclamation.

Etten moved, Groff seconded, proclaiming February 2023 Black History Month.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

b. Optimist Day Proclamation

Mayor Roe read the Optimist Day Proclamation.

Strahan moved, Groff seconded, proclaiming February 2, 2023 Optimist Day.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

6. Items Removed from Consent Agenda

- a. Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 1901 Ryan Avenue**
- b. Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 395 Woodhill Drive**
- c. Approve Issuance of a Short-Term Rental License for 770 Heinel Drive**

At the request of Councilmember Strahan, City Manager Trudgeon briefly highlighted these items as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated January 30, 2023.

Public Comment

Mayor Roe offered an opportunity for public comment.

Mr. Dan Johnson-Powers, 1974 Tatum Street

Mr. Johnson-Powers explained what brought him to the meeting at first was the short-term rental at 1901 Ryan, which is forty-five degrees out his front window and directly across the street from a currently unlicensed short-term rental. His first

thought when he heard that 1901 Ryan was applying to become a short-term rental was there would be another one in the neighborhood. The time that the property at 1973 Tatum has been a short-term rental the occupants of the home and the owner have all been delightful and there have not been any issues with them. But as he started looking into this issue, he got a lot more education that led him to longer term concerns for the City as a whole. When he looked at issues other cities have experienced, especially with Air BnB rentals, because they are the largest short-term rental group out there. He also saw that part of the approval process is that there have not been any code or police complaints in the last five years. He cannot speak for the other two items up for approval tonight but for the address at 1901 Ryan, it was not a surprise because there was a delightful family that lived there for the last five years and they just moved with the house being purchased. In the terms of the City's process, he did not know if staff knew any of the history on the new property owner and whether or not the owner has any other properties that are rented out and if there have been any issues with those properties. He suggested the City look into that history as well for future applications.

Mr. Johnson-Powers explained the two things he found in his studies that were of interest were that the very short-term nature of people living in these homes led in other communities to degradation in the social fabric, which then in turn, caused an increase in crime. The second concern is a decrease in property values and no one wants to see that. He would encourage the City to look into this issue further.

Ms. May Yang, 1921 Ryan Avenue

Ms. Yang echoed everything previously said and agreed with that. She indicated she moved to Roseville six years ago because she loves the location and neighborhood and sees why it is so attractive for people who want to do a short-term lease but she also loves that this community is more neighborly than any place she has ever lived. She strongly agreed that the community will lose this neighborly atmosphere if the City does not have any foresight on how short-term rentals are handled. She wondered who will be responsible for making sure the property is well kept up and things like that. She thought if the City needed help, she was willing to volunteer and felt like Roseville is big enough that she was not entirely opposed to short-term rentals but she thought the City needed to figure out how many per area there should be and also are these short-term rentals paying taxes accordingly.

Ms. Kelly Brooks, 1136 Ryan

Ms. Brooks explained she lives next door to a short-term rental which is owner occupied. She indicated she does wake up to new neighbors everyday in addition to the neighbors that live there. She did not have opposition to any of these license applications specifically but she echoes the other speakers concerns and thought that the City needs to look at this comprehensively and not only for the short-term rentals that are not owner occupied but also the ones that are owner occupied. She noted she did call last summer and talked to the City Planner and he said the owner

occupied really have no ordinances related to that situation. She thought that being a business is quite different than renting a room to a roommate in a long term situation. She also thought and hoped the City would look at limiting this and limiting it within a geographic area. This is a very central location, she can see why this would be attractive, and she is not opposed to it overall but thought the City should look to limiting the number of licenses and at the density in addition to the taxes. She indicated these properties are not zoned for commercial or high density yet there are a number of units next door and there have been a number of instances where someone has pulled into her driveway more than once a day to turn around to park in front of her house because they are staying next door. She also has a teenage daughter who has commented that people are smoking outside behind the neighbor's house and the smoke is coming into her window, which faces their backyard. She has a daughter who is not comfortable inside her own home so outside of erecting a six- or eight-foot fence, there really would not be a way to block that. She likes her neighbors as they are lovely people but she does wake up to new people and she is not sure if their backgrounds have been checked. She does not know who they are or where they are from and they do have an impact on her family.

Mayor Roe asked if there are any applicants at the meeting who would like to comment on their application. No one came forward so Mayor Roe closed the public comment and thanked the public for their comments.

Mayor Roe explained the license for short-term rentals that are regulated in City Code do pay a hotel lodging tax, which is the same percentage that hotels pay. The City does collect that as long as they are licensed and participating in the program, which they are obligated to do. Short-term rentals that are owner occupied are not covered by the same requirements so they are not currently charged the hotel lodging tax. He explained the theory at the time it was adopted by the City Council was that operating as a non-owner occupied is more like a lodging provider in a hotel business so that is why there is that distinction. The theory also was that owner occupied units would have the buy in of that owner occupied person to take care that renters are behaving properly, would be dealt with if not, and also to keep up the property because that is where they live. He noted that for anyone doing a short-term rental that is non-owner occupied, the City's requirement during the off season, October through May, is a minimum stay of seven days. During the peak season, May through October, the minimum stay is ten days. That requirement was put in place by the Council at the time this was enacted to severely limit the opportunity for people to rent for a few hours to have a gathering or party or for a short period of time. He explained he did note the checking on the property itself and its prior history not being relative to the current applicants and he asked Mr. Trudgeon what staff checks on related to this as well as noting some of the other comments and suggestions made by the public regarding this.

Mr. Trudgeon did not believe the City currently does background checks on the applications but insurance and other things needed to be submitted.

Councilmember Strahan thought it was important to mention that since this has been in effect the City has, in addition to the limits, or the minimum requirement stays, it is four unrelated adults or less or one family. She indicated the potentially unlicensed property is something the City has just learned about and will be investigating that situation.

Mayor Roe stated in terms of certain types of applications that the Council considers, he was thinking about land use which has statutory time limits for consideration, where if no action is taken within a certain amount of time it is automatically approved by State law. He did not think anything like that applied here as it is not written into City Code but there is some notion that because the applications have been received in house, the City has to act on them under the City's current system. He wondered if it was possible to table action on these applications if the City wishes to consider changes to its system before taking up these applications. He also wondered if that was a legitimate course the Council could take or does the Council need to take action up or down on these applications before more discussion can be had.

City Attorney Tierney advised the Council they can delay consideration of these applications if more is needed to be known about them. She explained that given the applications have been received by the City, unless the City has a policy, these are not land use applications and there is no statutory time frame and no time frame with the City's Ordinances. But laying on top of everything the Council does is general concepts of fairness and due process so unless it is common for the Council to delay consideration of an application pending rewriting an Ordinance, she would encourage the Council not to do that here.

Mayor Roe indicated sometimes the City does an interim ordinance in order for the Council to consider something. If that was something the Council wanted to take up, he presumed an interim ordinance would still require the ten-day publication notice of any ordinance so the City could not act on an interim ordinance this evening, for instance. But if the Council wanted to do that, it would need to be scheduled for a subsequent meeting and proper notice provided.

City Attorney Tierney indicated that was correct.

Mayor Roe thought that good questions had been brought up by the neighbors and maybe there were more things the Council could look at in terms of how the City Code works, which will probably take some time to figure it all out. He indicated the Council has the three items to take up and then the Council has to figure out where it wants to go in addition to that.

Councilmember Schroeder explained she was looking at what other cities have done, and found it was interesting. Other cities have done everything from banning these as well as limiting the area where these could be as well as doing nothing and letting people do what they want. She wondered if it made sense to consider limiting the number of short-term rentals or limiting the space between rentals that the City could consider. She thought it could be similar to the license for the THC products or liquor, limiting the number of licenses allowed in the City. She stated the City should try to get ahead of this before it might become a bigger issue. Two things she was thinking is that the amount of time it takes staff to investigate calls on properties, it is time consuming and hard to verify. The City does not look at the records of who is renting there and how long so if a neighbor calls the City and tells them there is a short-term rental next door that is less than seven days, the owner can tell the City they are not doing that so then staff is stuck trying to make a call on that. She indicated the City has been getting a lot of feedback from residents and she knew the affect on neighborhoods is a little subjective but it is important to hear what the residents are saying and what they want in the City. She referenced the City's Comprehensive Plan for 2040, noting it states "create housing that contributes to our existing neighborhoods." She wondered how this contributed to the existing neighborhoods.

Councilmember Strahan asked City Attorney Tierney if there was anything that would preclude the City from having some geographic density restrictions.

City Attorney Tierney indicated there is no explicit limitation of regulating the geography of where these would be.

Councilmember Strahan asked how many short-term rentals the City has currently.

Mr. Trudgeon indicated currently there are four, not including the three tonight.

Councilmember Strahan indicated the City does have a large number of single family homes that are rented with long-term residential and that is something to consider as well, noting a lot of people have complained about that. She did not know if the City had anything in their power to stop them from renting long-term and that contributes to the degradation of the neighborhood as much as anything else too. She did have concerns about 1901 Ryan, if it is indeed currently being rented without the short-term rental license. She understood the owners have already pulled a long-term rental license but until the City verifies that someone is there for a long-term lease, she felt the City should not allow the owners to have the license if the owners are already not quite living up to the City's expectations.

Mayor Roe stated the other question he has related to the requirement in the City's Code that if somebody is found to be doing short-term rental, the applicant cannot then get a short-term license without waiting something like 60 or 90 days. But he was not sure how the City can verify that the owner has been doing short-term rental

without a license. He also wondered if the owners have to provide records to the City regarding the minimum stay requirements and if the records are accurate and valid.

Councilmember Groff thought the compliance part of this was tricky because the City is relying on neighbors to let the City know if there are issues. Otherwise, the City cannot follow-up with these easily. He explained if there are two complaints then the home can be referred back to the City to pull the license or at least talk about it.

Councilmember Etten indicated he would not disagree with any of the thoughts about limiting the total capacity of short-term rentals whether that is space between them or a density issue. He would be in favor of that as well as how the City can do a better job with giving the right tools and information to staff to enforce that. He thought in this process the City has learned about one home on Ryan Avenue as well as some other homes in the neighborhood that may also be operating as non-owner occupied. He would be in favor of an interim ordinance that would pause any future licenses to see if the City can put a better system in place.

Councilmember Strahan remembered at the initial discussion that someone had mentioned having a log that the renter would have to sign, which was turned down, but she thought if the Council was wondering how compliant people are, a log of people may help as proof of stay.

Councilmember Schroeder asked if the Council can postpone the decision on these for a short period of time.

Mayor Roe indicated the Council could table action tonight but, given the advice of the attorney it probably could not be tabled for six months to look at the Ordinance.

City Attorney Tierney explained the question is if the Council were to table to investigate something about a specific license. That investigation was taking a specific amount of time and would be one way to talk about what reasonableness would look like. It depends on the situation.

Mayor Roe asked if the City could investigate something about an application that is not currently a licensing requirement, as far as information provided by the applicant.

City Attorney Tierney thought inherent in the Council's authority to grant the licenses is the ability to investigate grounds that would be denial. Certainly if there was a license applicant who appeared to be simply unqualified to run that kind of business, investigating that applicant would be a legitimate use of staff time.

Whether or not it would rise to that level, if it was not anywhere in the Ordinance as a basis for denial is going to be dependent on what is found.

Etten moved, Schroeder seconded, tabling the Short-Term Rental License for 1901 Ryan Avenue in order to learn more information about the current use as well as background information on the owner.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

Schroeder moved, Roe seconded, tabling the Short-Term Rental License for 395 Woodhill Drive and 770 Heinel for the same reasons as the application for 1901 Ryan was tabled

Roll Call

Ayes: Schroeder, and Roe.

Nays: Etten, Groff, Strahan.

Motion failed.

Etten moved, Groff seconded, approving the Short-Term Rental License for 395 Woodhill Drive and 770 Heinel Drive.

Council Comment

Councilmember Etten explained these two properties seem to be different because there are no neighbor concerns that are directly about the operations at this time and the City needs to be thinking about how it can investigate without any specific concerns coming from neighbors or staff at this time, he felt that the City needed to move forward with these and focus on 1901 Ryan and also a broader Ordinance update.

Councilmember Groff agreed and looked forward to the discussion about making this whole Ordinance more complete.

Mayor Roe noted on a philosophical basis of treating people equally and equal situations, he did not support the motion.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Groff, and Strahan.

Nays: Schroeder, Roe.

Etten moved, Schroeder seconded, to direct staff to craft an interim ordinance to suspend new Short-Term Non-Owner Occupied Rentals for six months.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

7. Business Items

a. Receive Presentation from Twin Cities North Chamber President John Connelly

City Manager Patrick Trudgeon briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated January 30, 2023.

President John Connelly introduced himself and gave a presentation on Twin City North Chamber of Commerce vision.

Mayor Roe thanked Mr. Connelly for the presentation and appreciated the update.

Councilmember Etten appreciated all of the work and the partnership with the City. He also thought it was important connecting with the schools in the area and partnering with them as well. He appreciated the effort made.

Mr. Connelly indicated the trades are much needed in the workforce today.

Councilmember Groff indicated the school has some excellent trade training.

Mr. Connelly thought with the upgrades and improvements the high school has created a place to learn more trades.

Councilmember Strahan thanked Mr. Connelly for programs because it is nice finding ways to be more inclusive with small businesses.

Councilmember Schroeder thanked Mr. Connelly for the presentation and glad to hear him talking about manufacturing because she thought that was going to be a key piece to keep adding to. She noted manufacturing goes across all industries and these are good jobs and very much needed.

b. Xcel Energy Painted Pole Project Presentation

Public Works Director Jesse Freihammer briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated January 30, 2023.

Ms. Kirstin Sersland and Mr. Joe Samuel with Xcel Energy introduced themselves to the City Council and made a presentation on the Painted Pole project.

Mayor Roe thanked Ms. Sersland for the presentation and the outreach to the neighborhoods surrounding this project so they are aware of what will be going on.

Councilmember Strahan asked if there will be street parking restrictions in this area during the time of the project.

Mr. Samuel indicated there will be traffic control with some lanes closed with some restriction to street parking. He indicated Xcel will be working with the Public Works Department on this project.

Mayor Roe assumed this is a rolling project from one end to the other.

Mr. Samuel explained replacing these types of structures is kind of new concept and is different than replacing wood structures. He reviewed the process with the City Council, confirming that the replacements and associated traffic restrictions move from one end of the corridor to the other.

Mayor Roe indicated the City appreciated the information and looked forward to the project being completed in a timely manner.

Recess

Mayor Roe recessed the meeting at approximately 7:18 p.m., and reconvened at approximately 7:24 p.m.

c. Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting with the City Council Regarding the Name of Pocahontas Park and General Updates

Vice-Chair Greg Hoag briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated January 30, 2023.

Parks and Recreation Commission Members present were: Vice-Chair Hoag, Commissioners Lenhart, Matts-Benson, Ybarra, Brown, and Arneson.

Vice-Chair Hoag presented the 2022 Commission highlights with the City Council.

Commissioners Lenhart and Matts-Benson updated the City Council on the Pocahontas Park name conversation.

Mayor Roe thanked the Commission for all the work done on everything presented tonight.

Councilmember Groff thanked the Commission for an excellent process, and he has heard from residents over and over that this one worked for them and they felt that they were heard. He thought the end name for the park was excellent and he supported that for all of the reasons given by the Commission.

Councilmember Etten concurred and thanked the Commission for all the work and staff for the last couple of years work. He would be in absolute support of signage with an educational piece around the history of Dakota land and peoples as a part

of this name change and an opportunity for the City to do a little bit more. He encouraged that to be a piece of this long term.

Councilmember Schroeder echoed the feedback and indicated she actually has watched some of the meetings and how frankly the Commissioners engage with each other, noting there was some great dialogue with tough questions. She stated it was engaging with the community and getting some advice from people who could really shed some light on this, as well as looking in on their own group and talking through what was learned. She thought the process was well done and she would support the suggestions of the Commission.

Councilmember Strahan indicated she was impressed with the length and hard work being done on this project. She would defer to the Native communities to see what would be most appropriate for the acknowledgement on site so it acknowledges how they relate to the land. She was fully supportive of the proposed name.

Mayor Roe concurred and thought the City might even think about more broadly throughout the park system acknowledgement both of the history of the Dakota presence on all of the land in Roseville that ties into the natural spaces and entire park system. He noted it might be a natural thing to have everywhere as well as the opportunity for a consistent theme of education. He indicated it is easy to support a recommendation when the Council knows what has gone into it and sees all of the work that has been done as well as the superb engagement by the Parks and Recreation staff and department in terms of making sure that decisions are fully informed by and influenced by the people involved.

Strahan moved, Etten seconded, approving the Pocahontas Park name change to Keya Park as well as the recommendation related to education and looking at a land acknowledgement.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

Commissioner Matts-Benson reviewed the 2023 Parks and Recreation priorities with the City Council.

Mayor Roe thanked the Parks Commission for the presentations and looked forward to future projects coming forward to the City Council.

Vice-Chair Hoag indicated he will be terming out at the end of March and thanked the City Council for his time on the Parks and Recreation Commission.

- d. Discuss Potential Phase II Zoning Code Amendments Regarding Shoreland and Sustainability Regulations**

Community Development Director Janice Gundlach briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated January 30, 2023.

Ms. Rita Trapp, HKGi, made a presentation on Roseville's Shoreland Ordinance.

Councilmember Etten indicated on the stormwater management overlay, he wondered if the rules for those properties, one hundred feet from the stormwater ponds be essentially the same as what is being seen in the overlay district.

Ms. Trapp explained those are rules regarding buffers of wetlands and what can be done within those areas. She indicated impervious surface is managed through the shoreland as well as through the stormwater but she noted she would have to check on that.

Councilmember Etten explained if someone is seeking a variance to a shoreland setback then the person would need to do the twenty percent but he wondered if that would depend on the size of the variance because a different variance might have a larger impact. He asked if a minimum standard is going to be set or would the only standard shift.

Ms. Trapp explained she would interpret this as the minimum standard that is the threshold that needs to be applied if someone is going to ask for a setback or impervious surface. There is always an opportunity with conditions for variances to adjust those conditions based on the magnitude. This is not setting a threshold from her perspective. It would be something the Planning Commission would look at and the Planning Commission and the City Council would be able to add additional conditions if needed. These are the ones to start with.

Ms. Gundlach noted the DNR would be a review party associated with that variance application. Depending on what is negotiated between the property owner, the Planning Commission, the Variance Board and the City Council, the DNR gets to weigh in on that as well.

Councilmember Etten indicated on the map of water bodies, there are some water bodies that are clearly not lakes and are more in the ditch category. But there are few that are not buffered on the map so he wondered if there was a reason, a certain acreage or size of water body before it counts for this regulation.

Mayor Roe thought the simple answer to this was that the main bodies of water are designated by the DNR and the other ones are designated by the City. He imagined the only ones shown are those that are actually designated by the City.

Ms. Trapp explained these are historically designated and a change was not made and no new bodies of water were added but there were bodies of water that were

converted. She stated these used to be City designated and since the time this was originally done, the bodies of water have become DNR named but no City ones were added that she was aware of.

Mr. Freihammer explained all the other bodies of water mentioned fall under the wetland part of the ordinance.

Ms. Gundlach indicated regarding the impervious surface under the stormwater changes being moved to Title 8, she pulled up the document prepared a while ago in connection with engineering about what is being moved out into Title 8. She believed that the impervious surface limitations are included in those regulations as well at twenty-five percent and are consistent. The difference is the things being moved to Title 8, someone would have the ability to go up to thirty percent if the additional hard surface is directed to an onsite or regional storm water ponding retention facility designed to accommodate the increased runoff prior to discharge from the site.

Ms. Gundlach thought part of the problem now with it being in the Shoreland Overlay District is the DNR is not ok with going up to the thirty percent so by moving it out into Title 8 that option still exists for the situations where it is the wetland situation but not the shoreland situation.

Councilmember Schroeder asked if the natural cover of the shoreline will remain or will there be an upgraded natural plant because the natural cover on some of the shoreline is not really nice cover.

Ms. Trapp explained the intention is looking for plants and vegetation that is not manicured lawn all the way up to the shore and not beach as well. It would be some type of plant material that will be protective of the shoreline and not be erodible. She indicated this can be reviewed again for wording and suggestions.

Councilmember Strahan asked if Ms. Trapp knew how many people the changes in the Shoreland Overlay District would impact and be out of compliance if a new code is put in place.

Ms. Gundlach explained there are many different factors that staff could look at to determine who would be out of compliance. She indicated the City does not have a lot of ADU's (Accessory Dwelling Units) so she is not really concerned about that. The City does not have a ton of situations where people exceed hard surface requirements and those would be grandfathered in once the City goes to the new model ordinance. She did not know if there were others.

Ms. Trapp noted lot sizes were indicated while looking at the analysis but those are existing conditions as well. One advantage they were able to do that was not called out but is good to know, is that this code establishes that all of the underlying zoning

lot sizes and widths for non-riparian lots go to the Code. One benefit of this approach is that they were able to only focus on the riparian and not have to deal with all of the non-riparian elements, which would have normally been different lot size requirements. They were able to use the underlying, which is a positive because it makes things easier, even over time as adjustments are made.

Mayor Roe asked whether, in moving some of this to Title 8, the City is potentially putting some zoning requirements outside of the Zoning Code.

Ms. Trapp believed everything that is moving is stuff that staff was already administering. It is not creating new stuff other than what was desired to be created new.

Mayor Roe thought a reference might need to be included in the Zoning Code to potential buffer areas that are in Chapter 8.

Mr. Jeff Miller, HKGi, presented Phase Two of the Zoning Code update including sustainability. He asked if there were any questions on the EV portion of his presentation.

Councilmember Etten thought this was an important move forward for the City. He had talked with Ms. Gundlach about the definition of improved and that this talks about more than twenty percent of the parking area. He believed that was referenced now to another part of the City Code. He appreciated that because he knew it was a question brought up by the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Etten asked if nonresidential will apply to all other uses, institutional, such as Roseville High School if the parking lot is redone by more than twenty percent.

Mr. Miller stated with the way this is written, he believed it is so.

Ms. Gundlach noted all residential and non-residential would include everything.

Councilmember Etten explained when looking at the handicap portion, it states access to one and sometimes these come with potentially two sides to them. He wondered if that is what is being talked about and not necessarily dedicated right in front of a single handicap stall but could be split into different areas. He also wondered if it is a requirement to have one EV charger and it would be placed right in front of a handicap spot the majority of users would not be able to get to it. He stated there needed to be some thought about what that access means, how they operate, and how access works.

Ms. Gundlach indicated that was not their intent as discussing this. It was originally discussed as the five- or ten-percent requirement. Then the handicap discussion started and they wanted to make sure there was access for handicap persons.

Councilmember Schroeder thought the EV station would be handicap accessible but not marked as handicapped.

Councilmember Etten asked staff if there was any idea what the cost difference is between a level one and level two EV charging station.

Ms. Gundlach explained when staff had the discussion about EV stations there was only discussion about the overall cost, and she did not remember the specifics on the numbers used.

Mr. Miller explained when there was discussion about the level one and level two charging stations the level one is 110 volt and level two is 120 volt and he did not think there was a big difference in cost. The level three and the DC charging stations are substantially higher costs which is why in the recommendations it is level three or DC can be substituted but the cost is much different than level two.

Councilmember Strahan wondered if this was enough because in the State of California they are banning gas powered cars after 2035 and she did not see Minnesota heading in that direction right now. But even a small incremental step where one in a lot of fifty, is not going to be nearly enough. She was thinking ahead but thought they needed to round up. She also wondered what the City could require while potentially meeting the need.

Mr. Miller explained they did look at precedents and at eight cities in Minnesota. They did not see any that were higher even though Roseville could go higher. He did understand what Councilmember Strahan was saying and that this could go higher. He asked if there were any suggestions to be made.

Councilmember Groff thought this was a good start and that in the future, more may be needed. He liked the idea of having this available to rentals because right now, some people in rentals are not able to have an EV because there is no place to charge it.

Mr. Miller continued his presentation on Landscaping and Screening Ordinance.

Councilmember Etten asked if the two trees per two thousand square feet actually a reduction in the number of trees expected on a high-density property.

Ms. Gundlach thought it would be, but the individual characteristics of every site would need to be evaluated.

Mr. Miller continued with the presentation on Sustainable Building Zoning Incentives.

Councilmember Etten appreciated the approach to this and that it was going in the right direction. He thought there was a lot of good in what can come here. One of the things he was worrying about is that some of the pieces are more valuable to developers, noting the Council had specific detailed discussion on things such as building height, for example. Tonight there was discussion about impervious surface and these are all pushing out and impact a development that will impact people around them and green space so it is almost in direct contrast to environmental goals or some of the things the City has tried to do to preserve neighborhood situations. He wondered about how the pieces are looked at, how staff will weigh these things, and also how it will work with other parts of the development code.

Ms. Gundlach explained the simple answer is what does the City value as a community and how much incentive does the City want to offer in order to get sustainable development. She noted that right now, staff cannot require these things and there has been a lot of pressure and demand by certain groups within the community that the City should be doing more sustainable developments, and if the City cannot legally do it then it needs to be incentivized.

Mayor Roe wondered if this should be rolled out as a pilot program or available to everyone. He felt it was safer to do a pilot program rather than open it up to everyone because he has some concern about how this will work.

Councilmember Schroeder thought a pilot program would be best because she was also concerned there is so much to this. A pilot program would be easier to roll out because the City would want to monitor and measure each project to see if it is working or not.

Ms. Gundlach reviewed staff recommendations and indicated the recommendations will be implemented and brought back to the City Council in March for further discussion. She noted the incentives will be reviewed further and taken out of the Zoning Code update at this point to work on the possibility of a pilot program.

Mayor Roe thanked HKGi for the presentations.

- e. **Consider Approval of Payoff of Interfund Loan Between PMP and Shopping Center Funds with Use of Excess Cash Reserve Fund**
Removed for consideration at a future City Council meeting.

8. Council Direction on Councilmember Initiated Agenda Items

9. Approve Minutes

Comments and corrections to draft minutes had been submitted by the City Council prior to tonight's meeting and those revisions were incorporated into the draft presented in the Council packet.

Regular City Council Meeting

Monday, January 30, 2023

Page 17

a. Approve January 9, 2023 City Council Meeting Minutes

Schroeder moved, Groff seconded, approval of the January 9, 2023 City Council Meeting Minutes as amended.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, and Roe.

Nays: None.

Abstain: Strahan.

10. Approve Consent Agenda

At the request of Mayor Roe, City Manager Trudgeon briefly reviewed those items being considered under the Consent Agenda; and as detailed in specific Requests for Council Action dated January 30, 2023 and related attachments.

Strahan moved, Schroeder seconded, approval of the Consent Agenda including claims and payments as presented and detailed.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.

a. Approve Payments

ACH Payments	\$388,330.83
105706-105763	239,103.66
TOTAL	\$627,434.49

b. Approval of Temporary Liquor License and 1 Temporary Gambling License.

c. Approve General Purchases or Sale of Surplus Items Exceeding \$10,000.

d. Receive Grant Application Update.

e. Removed for separate consideration.

f. Removed for separate consideration.

g. Removed for separate consideration.

11. Future Agenda Review, Communications, Reports, and Announcements – Council and City Manager

City Manager Patrick Trudgeon reviewed the February 13, 2023 City Council meeting, and the February 27, 2023 City Council meeting.

12. Adjourn

Groff moved, Etten seconded, adjournment of the meeting at approximately 9:15 p.m.

Roll Call

Ayes: Etten, Schroeder, Groff, Strahan, and Roe.

Nays: None.



Daniel J. Roe, Mayor

ATTEST:



Patrick J. Trudgeon, City Manager