Select Architect for Civic Campus Project Public Works Department

Council Meeting

September 15, 2025



Select Architect

Background

- July 7, 2025 Council approved moving forward with Concept C
- July 21, 2025 Council approved RFP for Architectural Services
- July 23, 2025 Released RFP
- August 27, 2025 Proposal Due



Select Architect

Scoring Matrix

Factor	Points
1) The quality of the Consultant's proposal relative to thoroughness of the response and inclusion of all requested information identified in the RFP.	10
2) The qualifications of the lead Consultant architectural firm and their identified Project Manager including a demonstrated understanding of the Project and available staff capacity to perform the work.	15
B) The qualifications of the balance of the Consultant design team members including prior work experience with the lead Consultant architectural firm and available staff capacity to perform the work.	10
Similar Project Experience within the past ten (10) years on a) Public Works projects, License/Passport Center, Dance Studio and b) Construction Management delivered projects. The project experience should demonstrate the inclusion of the full scope of Consultant services identified in this RFP.	15
i) The proposed detailed work plan and corresponding schedule in Gantt Chart format including a demonstrated project understanding through identification of work scope tasks, milestones and deliverables.	10
6) Fee Proposal – Cost of Professional Services relative to corresponding breakout of hours per project phase versus any dentified exclusions. Fee must additionally be broken out by MOC and LPCDS.	40



Select Architect Proposals

- 10 Architect Firms issued a Notice of Intent
- 7 Firms Submitted Proposals
 - Meyer Group
 - HCM Architects
 - BKV Group
 - LHB
 - Wold Architects
 - Kodet Architects
 - Busch Architects
- Staff scored proposal on the RFP matrix
 - City Manager, Public Works Director, Parks and Recreation Director, City Engineer, Parks Superintendent and the City Planner



Select Architect Scores

	0 11 11	Meyer	HOM A LITTLE OF	DIGITO		M. I.I. A I. % 4	K. I. A. I. I. I.	B 1 A 1 % 4
Factor	Points	Group Average	HCM Architects Average	BKV Group Average	LHB Average	Wold Architects Average	Kodet Architects Average	Average
1) The quality of the Consultant's proposal relative to thoroughness of	Foirits	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
the response and inclusion of all requested information identified in the								
RFP.	10	5.3	9.2	9.7	8.5	8.5	6.8	5.7
2) The qualifications of the lead Consultant architectural firm and their	10	0.0	5.2	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
identified Project Manager including a demonstrated understanding of								
the Project and available staff capacity to perform the work.								
	15	6.5	12.0	13.7	12.7	13.2	11.5	8.0
3) The qualifications of the balance of the Consultant design team								
members including prior work experience with the lead Consultant								
architectural firm and available staff capacity to perform the work.								
	10	5.7	8.7	9.2	8.7	9.0	7.7	6.3
4) Similar Project Experience within the past ten (10) years on, a) Public								
Works projects, License/Passport Center, Dance Studio b) Construction								
Management delivered projects. The project experience should								
demonstrate the inclusion of the full scope of Consultant services identified in this RFP.								
identified in this KFP.	15	6.3	12.8	13.5	13.0	13.3	11.0	7.2
5) The proposed detailed work plan and corresponding schedule in	10	0.3	12.0	13.5	13.0	13.3	11.0	1.2
Gantt Chart format including a demonstrated project understanding								
through identification of work scope tasks, milestones and deliverables.								
	10	5.7	8.2	9.3	8.7	8.0	7.0	6.5
6) Fee Proposal – Cost of Professional Services relative to		-	-					
corresponding breakout of hours per project phase versus any								
identified exclusions. Fee must additionally be broken out by MOC and								
LPCDS.	40	24.5	31.8	28.8	33.5	23.7	25.5	31.2
TOTAL SCORE	100	54.0	82.7	84.2	85.0	75.7	69.5	64.8
Average Score		54.0	82.7	84.2	85.0	75.7	69.5	64.8
Rank		7	3	2	1	4	5	6



Select Architect

Costs & Hours

	Costs					Hours				Alternate	
	LP	CDS	MC	OC .	Tot	al	LPCDS	MOC	Total		
Meyer	\$	286,380	\$	1,452,080	\$	1,738,460	1754	7142	8896	\$	-
НСМ	\$	1,113,745	\$	1,862,620	\$	2,976,365	6280	10143	16423	\$	110,000
BKV	\$	861,820	\$	2,784,780	\$	3,646,600			19643	\$	26,970
LHB	\$	630,911	\$	2,000,509	\$	2,631,420			17049	\$	148,852
WOLD	\$	682,000	\$	3,696,000	\$	4,378,000	2887	18751	21638	\$	125,000
Kodet	\$	774,090	\$	2,913,125	\$	3,687,215	4423	15996	20419	\$	-
Busch	\$	538,466	\$	1,516,544	\$	2,055,010			12856	\$	100,000

Select Architect Proposals

- Based on the scoring staff choose to interview top three firms
 - HCM Architects
 - BKV Group
 - LHB
 - All staff had these three firms as the top three firms but there was some variance in the preference order
 - All three firms very capable of work on the project
 - Clear separation in scores
 - Costs, knowledge of project scope experience
- September 9, 2025 Interviewed the selected firms
- Based on the scores and the interviews staff recommends selecting LHB as the Architect for the Civic Campus Project Final Design
 - Numerous projects with similar size & scope
 - Project manager, lead architect and team have experience in large scale projects including remodels and expansion projects
 - Cost of services and hours provided overall best value of the top three firms.



Select Architect Budget

- LHB Fees
 - MOC \$2,000,509 Sales Tax
 - LPCDS \$630,911 TBD
 - Total \$2,631,420
 - Alternative (Higher Sustainability) \$148,852
 - Reducing this cost aligns with the strategic plan goal of ensuring resource allocation meets operation needs.
- Construction Mager at Risk (CMaR)
 - RFQ process shortlist to 5 firms from 8 submittals
 - Recommended firm will be present on October 13, 2025, City Council meeting

Civic Campus Project Budget								
	MOC	LPCDS	Total					
Total Funding Available	\$ 64,200,000.00	TBD						
Total Project Budget based on CCMP Estimate - July 2025	\$ 60,800,000.00	\$ 14,500,000.00	\$ 75,300,000.00					
Soft Cost Portion of the Project Budget	\$ 12,920,702.00	\$ 3,348,394.00	\$ 16,269,096.00					
Architect - LHB	\$ 2,000,509.00	\$ 630,911.00	\$ 2,631,420.00					
Estimated budget remaining for additional soft costs	\$ 10,920,193.00	\$ 2,717,483.00	\$ 13,637,676.00					



Select Architect Requested Council Action

- 1. Approve the selection of LHB for Architectural Services related to the Civic Campus Project.
 - If selected, staff would bring an agreement for approval to the October 13, 2025, City Council Meeting

QUESTIONS

