## REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

Date: 9/21/09

Item No.: 11.a

Department Approval

City Manager Approval

DB

Item Description: Public Hearing for the Project to be assessed in 2009

#### 1 BACKGROUND

2 On August 24, 2009, the City Council set a date to hold a public hearing for the purpose of

- establishing special assessments for City Project P-07-02: 2007 PMP, Neighborhood 10
- 4 Reconstruction. It is suggested that the public hearing be conducted according to the attached
- 5 agenda.
- 6 At the assessment hearing, staff will go through a brief presentation that will include a
- 7 description of the project, project financing, and a discussion of typical assessments for
- properties benefiting from these improvements. Staff will summarize the City assessment policy
- and how it has been applied to this project.
- It is suggested that if property owners have individual concerns about the quality of construction
- as part of the project or specific information about project deficiencies, these should be referred
- to the Engineering Department. Typically, these kinds of complaints relate to quality of finished
- construction and are covered under the one-year contract warranty period. Correction of these
- types of problems should not delay the adoption of assessment rolls.
- Following past Council policy, if questions come up regarding specific assessments or if
- amendments to the assessment rolls are necessary, the hearing can be continued to the next
- council meeting for final adoption. However, since this year's assessment roll only includes 18
- parcels, staff suggests that the hearing be handled at a single council meeting. If necessary, the
- hearing can be continued if the Council would like staff to investigate concerns brought up
- 20 during the Public Hearing.
- 21 This project has been completed in accordance with the plans and specifications and there are no
- 22 major problems with construction according to our final review.

### POLICY OBJECTIVE

- 24 It is the City's policy to assess a portion of street reconstruction costs. The City follows the
- requirements of Chapter 429 of state statute for the assessment process. The proposed
- assessment roll has been prepared in accordance with Roseville's assessment policy and is
- 27 consistent with the recommendations in the feasibility report prepared for this project. Once the
- 28 Preliminary Assessment Roll has been prepared, the next step in the process is to hold a public
- 29 hearing.

23

- 30 After the Public Hearing, the City Council adopts the assessment roll making it final. The City
- allows for a 30-day pre-payment period after the roll adoption. Following the pre-payment

period, assessment rolls are certified to Ramsey County for collection. The City will have the rolls certified by early November in order to allow the County enough time to add the assessments to property taxes.

### FINANCIAL IMPACTS

35

43

44 45

46

47

48

Attachment A is a Project Financing Summary detailing the feasibility report and actual project costs for this improvement. This project was financed using assessments, utility funds, and street infrastructure funds.

The final assessment roll has been prepared in accordance with Roseville's assessment policy and as outlined in the project feasibility report. The preliminary assessment roll is attached and will be presented in detail at the assessment hearing.

### 42 STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve the attached resolution adopting and confirming the 2009 assessments. The 2009 assessment process is suggested to proceed according to the following schedule:

August 10	Approve Resolution declaring costs to be assessed, and ordering					
	preparation of assessment roll					
August 24	Approve Resolution receiving assessment roll and setting hearing date.					
September 1	Notice of hearing published in the <i>Roseville Review</i>					
September 8	Mail notices to affected property owners					
September 21	Assessment hearing- adoption of assessment roll					
Sept 22- Oct 23	Prepayment of assessments (30 days)					
Oct 25-30	Tally of final assessment roll					
November 2	Certification of assessment rolls to Ramsey County					

If necessary, the assessment public hearing can be continued to the September 28, 2009 City Council meeting to allow staff time to research objections raised at the initial hearing.

### REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

Approval of a resolution adopting and confirming 2009 assessments for improvements P-07-02.

**Prepared by: Debra Bloom, City Engineer** Attachments: A: Project Financing Summary

B: Resolution

C: Preliminary Assessment Roll

D: Agenda for Assessment Public Hearing

EXTRACT OF MINUTES OF MEETING 1 2 **OF CITY COUNCIL** 3 OF CITY OF ROSEVILLE 4 RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA 5 6 Pursuant to due call and notice thereof, a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of 7 Roseville, County of Ramsey, Minnesota, was duly held in the City Hall at 2660 Civic Center 8 9 Drive, Roseville, Minnesota, on Monday, the 21st day of September, 2009, at 6:00 o'clock p.m. 10 and the following were absent: The following members were present: 11 12 13 Councilmember Pust introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption: 14 RESOLUTION 15 16 RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND CONFIRMING 2009 ASSESSMENTS FOR CITY PROJECT P-07-02 17 18 WHEREAS, pursuant to proper notice duly given as required by law, the council has met and 19 20 heard and passed upon all objections to the proposed assessment for City Project 07-02, the 21 improvement of the streets in Neighborhood 10; 22 23 BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Roseville, Minnesota as follows: 24 25 The amount proper and necessary to be specially assessed at this time for City Project P-1. 07-02 against every assessable lot, piece or parcel of land affected thereby has been duly 26 27 calculated upon the basis of benefits, without regard to cash valuation, in accordance with 28 the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 429, as amended, and notice has been duly 29 mailed and published, as required by law, that this Council would meet to hear, consider, 30 and pass upon all objections, if any, and said proposed assessment has at all times since its filing been open for public inspection, and an opportunity has been given to all interested 31 32 persons to present their objections, if any, to such proposed assessments. 33 This Council, having heard and considered all objections so presented, and being fully

advised in the premises, finds that each of the lots, pieces, and parcels of land enumerated

improvement in not less than the amount of the assessment set opposite the description of

each such lot, piece, and parcel of land, respectively, and such amount so set out is hereby

in the proposed assessment was and is specifically benefited by the construction of said

levied against each of the respective lots, pieces and parcels of land therein.

The proposed assessments are hereby adopted and confirmed as the proper special

assessment against each parcel, together with interest at the rate of 6.5% per annum

with general taxes upon such parcel and all thereof. The total amount of each such

of fifteen (15) years, the first of said installments, together with interest on the entire assessment from the date hereof to December 31, 2009, to be payable with general taxes

for the year 2009, collectible in 2010, and one of each of the remaining installments, together with one year's interest on that and all other unpaid installments, to be payable

accruing on the full amount thereof from time to time unpaid, shall be a lien concurrent

assessment shall be payable in equal annual principal installments extending over a period

with general taxes for each consecutive year thereafter until the entire assessment is paid.

assessments for each of said lots, pieces, or parcels of land, respectively, and the

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49 3.

- 4. If the adopted assessment differs from the proposed assessment as to any particular lot,
  piece, or parcel of land, the manager shall mail to the owner a notice stating the amount of
  the adopted assessment. The manager must also notify affected owners of any changes
  adopted by the Council in interest rates or prepayment requirements from those contained
  in the notice of the proposed assessment
- 5. Prior to the certification of the assessment to the County Auditor, the owner of any lot, piece, or parcel of land assessed hereby may at any time pay the whole of such assessment, with interest to the date of payment, to the City Treasurer, but no interest shall be charged if such payment is made within 30 days after the date of this resolution.
- The City Manager shall forthwith prepare and transmit to the County Auditor a certified duplicate of the assessment roll, with each installment and interest on each unpaid assessment set forth separately, to be extended upon the property tax lists of the County, and the County Auditor shall thereafter collect such assessments in the manner provided by law.

15 16

17

The motion for the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly seconded by Council member and upon roll call vote being taken thereon, the following voted in favor thereof: and the following voted against the same:

18 19 20

Whereupon said resolution was declared duly passed and adopted.

1		
2		
3		
4	STATE OF MINNESOTA	)
5		) SS
6 7	COUNTY OF RAMSEY	)
8		
9	I, the undersigned, being the dul	ly qualified City Manager of the City of Roseville, Ramsey
10	County, Minnesota, hereby certi	ify that I have carefully compared the attached and foregoing
11	extract of minutes of a meeting	of the City Council of said City held on the21st day of
12	September, 2009, with the origin	nal thereof on file in my office, as the same relates to City
13	Project P-07-02.	·
14	Ç	
15	WITNESS MY HAND as said I	Manager and the corporate seal of the City this 21st day of
16	September, 2009.	
17	_	
18		
19		
20		
21	(SEAL)	City Manager

# Project 07-02 Neighborhood 10 Project Financing Summary

		Feasibility Report		Final Cost	
1	Reconstruction	\$	279,553.78	\$	165,786.96
2	Storm sewer_	\$	71,217.90	\$	3,214.51
3	Total Construction Cost	\$	350,771.68	\$	169,001.47
4					
5	Engineering*_		N/A	\$	32,300.42
6	Total Project Cost	\$	350,771.68	\$	201,301.89
7	_				
8 5	Summary of Non-assessable costs				
9	Storm sewer	\$	71,217.90		3,214.51
10	Engineering*		N/A	\$	614.37
11	_				
12	Total Non- assessable costs	\$	71,217.90	\$	3,828.88
13 '	*Engineering cost estimates included in feasibility r	ерс	ort totals	·	<u>'</u>
14					
15	Summary of Assessment Calculations				
16	Assessable Cost	\$	279,553.78	\$	196,858.63
17	Assessment Rate	\$	32.30	\$	22.75
18	Total Assessable Frontage		2,163.42		2,163.42
19	_				
20	Total Special Assessments	\$	69,888.45		\$49,214.66
21	_				
22 l	Project Financing Summary				
23 (		\$	34,944.22	\$	32,300.42
24 3		\$	185,403.80	\$	116,572.30
		\$	60,535.22	\$	3,214.51
26	· ·	\$	69,888.45	\$	49,214.66
27	Total	\$	350,771.68	\$	201,301.89

Preliminary Assessment Roll 07-02 Neighborhood 10 08/20/09

 Total assessable project cost
 \$ 196,858.63

 Frontage used to calculate rate
 2,163.42

 Assessment Rate (100%)
 \$ 90.99

 Assessment Rate (25%)
 \$ 22.75

				Total			
PIN		ADDRESS	Front Yard	Side Yard	Frontage	Assessment	Notes
032923220070	0	Ridgewood	0.00	0.00		\$ -	No Street Frontage
032923220071	3120	Ridgewood	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$ -	No Street Frontage
032923220072	3116	Ridgewood	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220073	3110	Ridgewood	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220074	3104	Ridgewood	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220075	3100	Ridgewood	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220076	3096	Ridgewood	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220077	3088	Asbury	93.40	12.80	106.20	\$ 2,415.90	Odd lot = 15115/161.82
032923220078	3076	Asbury	97.80	0.00	97.80	\$ 2,224.81	Odd lot = 13852/141.65
032923220079	0	Asbury	34.50	0.00	34.50	\$ 784.82	
032923220080	3101	Ridgewood	127.50	12.80	140.30	\$ 3,191.62	Odd lot = 29403/192.24
032923220081	3111	Ridgewood	127.50	0.00	127.50	\$ 2,900.44	Odd lot = 10759/81.98
032923220082	0	Ridgewood	29.62	0.00	29.62	\$ 673.81	
032923220083	3117	Asbury	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220084	3103	Asbury	200.00	0.00	200.00	\$ 4,549.71	
032923220085	3093	Asbury	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220086	3085	Asbury	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220087	3077	Asbury	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220088	3069	Asbury	100.00	0.00	100.00	\$ 2,274.85	
032923220089	3061	Asbury	127.50	0.00	127.50	\$ 2,900.44	
None	right- of- way	Snelling Avenue	300	0.00	300.00	\$ 6,824.56	City Frontage - 150 feet x 2

**TOTAL: 2137.82** 25.60 2163.42 \$ 49,214.66

### AGENDA PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS

### **COMMENTS THAT SHOULD BE READ INTO THE RECORD:**

A. <u>Mayor</u> calls the meeting to order and announces the purpose of the hearing and the format for the meeting.

"This is a public hearing to consider special assessment rolls for various public improvement projects. The projects have been constructed and the decision will be whether the Council wishes to approve the assessment rolls as presented or make modifications to the assessment rates. The hearing will discuss how the project costs will be allocated and what the assessments against benefiting properties will be."

"The Council will consider individual assessment rolls for individual projects at this hearing. The Council may by simple majority vote to approve the assessment rolls for each project."

B. <u>City Manager</u> should make comments regarding number of projects, types of projects, and published and mailed notices. This should include the following language:

"In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 429, required published and legal mailed notices have been provided for each of the special assessment public hearings. Legal notices appeared in the City's legal newspaper, <u>Roseville Review</u>, on September 1, 2009. In addition, mailed notices have been sent to each affected property owner in accordance with the statute. Mailed notices were sent on September 8, 2009. Affidavits of mailing are available in the office of the City Engineer."

### PROCEDURE FOR EACH PROJECT HEARING:

- A. <u>City Engineer</u> introduces the project by reading the project number and giving a brief description of the improvements presents summary of the nature of the improvement, the area involved, final project costs, project financing, and assessments.
- B. <u>City Manager</u> reads written statements objecting to assessments from affected property owners in regard to each project.
- D. <u>Mayor</u> opens hearing to the public. Speakers are requested to identify themselves and the street address of the property to which they are referring.

The following comments may be appropriate depending on how many people are in attendance.

"In an attempt to provide everyone an opportunity to be heard and yet conduct the hearing in an efficient manner, we suggest that rules be used for the individual hearings for these assessments. The rules will include the following:

- 1. Individuals should identify themselves by giving their name and address and should speak into the microphone.
- 2. Try to designate a neighborhood or block spokesperson to represent the area and summarize significant issues.
- 3. Each speaker should limit questions and comments to two to three minutes.
- 4. No person will be heard for a second time until all interested persons who wish to speak have had an opportunity to do so.
- 5. A maximum of 30 minutes will be allowed for questions and comments for residents unless significant major issues develop.

### E. <u>Mayor</u> closes hearing.

After all citizen comments have been completed the mayor should indicate that the public hearing is closed and turn the hearing over to the City Council for action.

### F. <u>Council</u> action on improvement.

The Council should continue all assessment hearings to September 28, 1998, to allow staff adequate time to review questions or complete additional research.