

Date: October 25, 2010

Item No.: 12.b

Department Approval

Acting City Manager Approval

Cttop K. mill

Item Description: Consider Request to Conduct a Resident Survey

BACKGROUND

Previous background reports have provided information on how a survey meets the City's needs to provide benchmarking of City services, and to provide citizen input on budget priorities.

4 Those reports are included as attachments to this report.

5

Three issues have been raised by council concerning a resident survey.

7

Integration With Parks Survey

The Parks and Recreation Department is considering its own survey to assess resident support for various proposals coming out of the Parks and Recreation System Master Plan and the funding of those proposals. Due to the need to generate a sizable amount of data specific to implementation of the Plan, staff believes we could not accomplish the benchmarking, budget input and Parks Plan input with a single survey.

131415

16

17

10

11

12

Will Cobalt Community Research Give a Discount for More Than One Survey

Cobalt Community Research is a 501c3 not for profit created as an offshoot of the CFI Group which uses the methodology of the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) to help private businesses identify which product and service changes will have the greatest effect on satisfaction, loyalty, recommendation, and other vital future behaviors.

192021

22

23

24

25

26

CFI began with research conducted at the University of Michigan. The founders decided to give back to the public sector by establishing Cobalt which would use the ACSI methodology to benefit units of government. Cobalt also seeks to help government by providing its research and education at steeply discounted prices. When staff first began researching survey companies in 2004, we found that typical survey prices ranged from \$20,000 - \$45,000. Cobalt's price is \$9,600. Because the survey comes at a deeply discounted price to begin with, there would not be additional discounts available by conducting multiple surveys.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

If Council Deleted the Survey from the 2009 Budget, Why Was it Approved in the 2010 Budget

Councils evaluate many criteria when determining priorities for the annual budget. Those priorities can change when new members join the Council or circumstances that affect the criteria change. For instance vehicle depreciation was removed from the 2009 budget as a one-time fix to balance the budget. It would be fiscally irresponsible to not include vehicle

depreciation in following years.

The survey was removed by the Council from the 2009 budget at the November 17, 2008 meeting.

Excerpt from 11/17/08 City Council Minutes relating to a Community Survey Ihlan moved, Willmus seconded, removing the \$10,000 allocation for a community survey, based on previous City Council discussions and lack of majority support.

The Council membership changed in 2009 and a majority of the Council approved the 2010 budget which included money for the survey.

POLICY OBJECTIVE

In *Imagine Roseville 2025* residents identified two strategies for Making Roseville a Welcoming Community:

Benchmark and routinely seek community input to evaluate and continuously improve city services.

Assess needs and desires for new public facilities and programs, including a Community Center, through survey and other methods.

Additionally the Council identified performance goals for the City Manager to achieve in 2010: Excerpt of City Council Meeting Minutes of May 17, 2010

Mayor Klausing advised that the City Council and Mr. Malinen agreed on performance targets for 2010, including continued emphasis on the goals and strategies established through the *Imagine Roseville 2025* community visioning process; city-wide performance measurements systems; and demonstration of measurable improvements in community engagement.

A citizen survey would meet all of these objectives.

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

The 2010 budget includes \$10,000 for a citizen survey in the Communications division budget – a non-property tax supported division. The quote from Cobalt Community Research is for \$9,600.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve contract with Cobalt Community Research on a resident survey.

REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

A motion to approve contract with Cobalt Community Research on a resident survey.

Prepared by: Tim Pratt, Communications Specialist

Attachments: A: October 18, 2010 Community Survey Request for Council Action

REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

Date: October 18, 2010 Item No.: 12.d

Department Approval

Acting City Manager Approval

Item Description: Consider Request to Conduct a Resident Survey

BACKGROUND

Recent state aid cuts have led the City to examine in greater detail the programs and services offered. There have been staff reductions, program cuts and changes in service delivery. The City Council and staff have solicited resident input on the City's budget by inviting the public to come us – attend community meetings or testify at public hearings, with little success.

City Council members have expressed a desire for greater citizen input on budget matters. After much investigation staff have identified a tool that it believes will provide that input – a resident survey. Specifially it is a survey designed by Cobalt Community Research, a 501c3 nonprofit coalition created to help governmental organizations measure, benchmark, and manage their efforts. Their survey instrument is specifically designed to engage residents in budget and planning decisions.

Part of citizen engagement is to assess citizens' satisfaction with various city services. This assessment will give us a benchmark allowing us to know how well services are being provided currently, and allow us in the future to determine if the City's actions or inactions have an effect on resident satisfaction. This would fit with the Council's direction to the City Manager to engage in City-wide performance measurement.

Why a Survey

Surveys are a widely used tool to guage resident's opinions on budgetary matters. According to an article in the International City/County Manager Association 2010 Municipal Yearbook entitled "Citizen Engagement: An Evolving Process," "citizen surveys give voice to a broader, more representative group of citizens than do public meetings." Such surveys can provide valuable information to elected officials and local government staff on the problems the community faces, or on how to better communicate with residents. These tools also provide an opportunity for individuals who, because of work or family commitments or personal reticence, may find it difficult to participate in the type of meetings typically open to the public.

Roughly 51 percent of jurisdictions responding to the ICMA survey indicated that they conduct citizen surveys, and those operating under the council-manager form reported the highest percentage among all cities and counties -67%.

About Cobalt

Cobalt Community Research was created as an offshoot of the CFI Group which uses the methodology of the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) to help private businesses

identify which product and service changes will have the greatest effect on satisfaction, loyalty, recommendation, and other vital future behaviors.

Using their experience gauging business customer satisfaction, Cobalt has created surveys that allow local governments to compare current year scores against similar local governments and even the broader public and private sectors.

The survey instrument from Cobalt has three components.

- 1) A Citizen Engagement section (see example in Attachment A) which provides resident satisfaction with various city services, and develops benchmarks for future assessments (Note that these are sample questions. We would work with Cobalt to develop our own questions).
- 2) A Budget Allocation module (see example in Attachment B) where residents indicate which programs and services are important to them, and solicits possible budgetary actions residents would prefer if there is not adequate funding to provide the services. That data is overlayed with actual budget allocations to support focus of budget and staff on areas with the greatest impact on satisfaction and citizen behaviors (see graphic which is Attachment C).
- 3) The Future Projects module allows residents to rate potential projects by support, funding and cost (see graphic which is Attachment D). This could be used to gauge residents' interest and support for various proposals coming from the Parks and Recreation Master Planning Process. However, this would not preclude an additional survey related to the Master Plan proposals.

The survey would be mailed to 1,500 residents and a follow-up mailing will be sent to non-respondeds. In addition to the scientifically valid mail survey, Cobalt would provide an online survey website that would allow residents not selected for the mail survey to respond to the same questions. Online answers would be tabulated separately from the mail survey.

Staff would begin this project by working with Cobalt to develop the questions to be asked. That work would take place this fall. It takes six weeks from the completion of questions until the end of the resident response time. Depending on timing issues, the survey could be issued this fall or may wait until after the holiday season. In either case, survey results would be available for the Council in early 2011. The desired deadline is to have the information for the Council before the annual strategic planning retreat in February.

Integration With Parks Survey

The Parks and Recreation Department is considering its own survey to assess resident support for various proposals coming out of the Parks and Recreation System Master Plan and the funding of those proposals. Due to the need to generate a sizable amount of data specific to implementation of the Plan, staff believes we could not accomplish the benchmarking, budget input and Parks Plan input with a single survey.

POLICY OBJECTIVE

In Imagine Roseville 2025 residents identified two strategies for Making Roseville a Welcoming Community:

Benchmark and routinely seek community input to evaluate and continuously improve city services.

85 86 87

84

Assess needs and desires for new public facilities and programs, including a Community Center, through survey and other methods.

88 89 90

91

92

93

94

Additionally the Council identified performance goals for the City Manager to achieve in 2010: Excerpt of City Council Meeting Minutes of May 17, 2010

Mayor Klausing advised that the City Council and Mr. Malinen agreed on performance targets for 2010, including continued emphasis on the goals and strategies established through the *Imagine Roseville 2025* community visioning process; city-wide performance measurements systems; and demonstration of measurable improvements in community engagement.

95 96 97

A citizen survey would meet all of these objectives.

98 99 100

101

102

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

The 2010 budget includes \$10,000 for a citizen survey in the Communications division budget – a non-property tax supported division. The quote from Cobalt Community Research is for \$9,600.

103 104 105

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve contract with Cobalt Community Research on a resident survey.

106107108

REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

A motion to approve contract with Cobalt Community Research on a resident survey.

Prepared by: Tim Pratt, Communications Specialist

Attachments: A: Example of Citizen Engagement section of survey

B: Example of Budget Allocation module

C: Example of Budget Allocation Impact graphic

D: Example of Future Projects graphic

E: Cobalt contract



City of Circleville Citizen Engagement Survey

Thank you for your participation in this survey; we value your opinion. All answers will remain confidential - your name will not be shared. Please take a few moments to complete and return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

1.	First, think about your <i>local public school systemans "Poor"</i> and 10 means "Excellent."	e m and	d rate it	on the	followin	ng attrib	utes us	sing a s	cale fror	n 1 to	10, wher	e 1
		Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Meeting the needs of the community											
	Preparation of students for solid careers											
	Preparation of students for college											
	Communication with the public											
2	Now think about the transportation infrastruct	uro in	vour oo	mmun	ity and r	oto it o	n tha fa	ومنبيمال	ottribut	00:		
2.	Now, think about the <i>transportation infrastruct</i>	Poor	•		ity ariu i			niowing			Excellent	Don't
	Dood reciptorion	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Know
	Road maintenance	\dashv		\vdash								H
	Road signage	H	H	H	H	H		H	H			H
	Amount of traffic congestion on the roads											H
	Public transportation options	\mathbb{H}		\mathbb{H}								H
	Accommodation for bicycle and foot traffic											
3.	Please rate your local fire and emergency med	lical s	ervices	on the	e followir	ng attrik	outes:					
		Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Adequate fire coverage for the community											
	Fire prevention education											
	Quick response to fires											
	Quick response to medical emergencies											
4	Next rate the utility convices (water and cover	aorbo	مم مامد	strioits /	oto) the	ot vou i	100 OD	tha falla	wing of	tribute		
4.	Next, rate the <i>utility services</i> (water and sewer,	Poor			eic.) iii	at you t			wing at	inbute	Excellent	Don't
	Water quality	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Know
		\vdash								H		H
	Adequate garbage collection			\vdash								H
	Reliable electrical service											
5.	Next, please rate your <i>local law enforcement</i> (p	olice	departm	ent/sh	eriff's of	fice, etc	c.) on tl	ne follov	wing attr	ributes		
		Poor 1	_2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Respectful treatment of citizens											
	Fair and equitable enforcement											
	Safety education											
	Quick response											

6.	Rate your <i>community health care</i> on the follow	-	ributes:									
		Poor 1	_2_	3	_4	_5	_6_	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Access to health care providers	Ш			Ш							
	Quality of health care providers											
7.	Have you paid property taxes in the last 12 mont	hs?				Yes				No (Pl o Q.8)	ease skip	to to
	7a. Rate your <i>local property taxes</i> on the follo	wing a	attributes	S:						,	Excellent	Not
	Fairness of property appraisals	2	3	4	5	6	7		3 	9		pplicable
	Adequate period to pay taxes		H	H	-H	౼౼	-					
	Ease of understanding the bills											
	Fairness of tax levels Amount and quality of services you receive for the local taxes you pay											
8.	Think about community shopping opportunities rate your community for providing:			ale whe	re 1 me	eans " P	oor" ar	nd 10 n	neans	"Ехсе		
		Pool	or 2 ¬	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Shopping convenience for everyday items	<u> </u>							\vdash	\vdash		
	Shopping convenience for major items	Ļ					Щ		Щ			
	Sufficient choices for most of your shopping needs	L										
9.	Rate the <i>local government</i> in your community of			g:								
		Poo 1	or 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Having leaders who are trustworthy											
	Being well-managed											
	Having employees who are well-trained											
	Communicating effectively to the community											
	Spending dollars wisely											
	Being open to citizen ideas and involvement											
10.	Rate community events on the following:											
		Poo 1	or 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Range of cultural offerings											
	Strong and vibrant arts community											
	Quality sporting events to attend											
	Variety of festivals and community events											
11.	. Rate the economic health of your community or	າ the f	ollowing	aspect	s:							
	•	Poo 1		_3_	4	_5_	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Cost of living											
	Quality of jobs											
	Affordability of housing											
	Availability of jobs											
	Stability of property values											
	Strength of local economy											

12.	Thinking about the <i>diversity of the people</i> who	ive in	your co	ommuni	ty, pleas	e rate t	he follo	wing:				
		Poo 1	or 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Degree of ethnic diversity in your community											
	Level of interaction between ethnic groups											
	Support of ethnic and religious diversity by community groups, businesses, houses of worship and local government											
13.	Rate your <i>telecommunication services</i> in your	comm	unity o	n the fo	lowing:						Excellent	Don't
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Know
	Cell phone reception	\sqcup							\vdash	Н		
	Speed of your internet connection	\sqsubseteq	Щ			igdash		H	H	Н		
	Variety of options available for access to the internet											
	Availability of television programming options					Ш						
14	How frequently do you use the <i>parks and recrea</i>	ntion f	acilities	and pr	ograms?)						
•	Never Less than 6 tim				12 times a				More th	an 12 ti	imes a ye	ar
		-		<u> </u>		•			WOIO UN	an 12 u	moo a yo	a,
·	Next, rate your <i>local parks and recreation</i> fa	ACIIITIE Poor	•	orogram	s on the	TOIIOWII	ng attrik	outes:	_		Excellent	Don't
	Facilities meet your needs		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Know
	Facility maintenance											
		H				H						
	Quality of recreational programs	H									\vdash	
16	Variety of recreational programs											
10.	How frequently do you use the <i>local library</i> ?				10 6				11-4- 4-	10 4	·	
	<i>Never</i> Less <i>than 6 tirr</i> ■ Less than 6 tirr ■ Less than 6 tirr ■ Less than 6 tirr	•	ear	0-1	12 times a	a year		,	wore tri	an 12 ti	imes a ye	ar
	That your recar merally on the following attitude	Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent 10	Don't Know
	Hours of operation											
	Adequacy of resources to meet your needs					$\overline{\Box}$						
	Location(s)											
	Occasionally and analysis of the last con-	***				40			4	113.7		
18.	Consider all your experiences in the last year v Dissatisfied" and 10 means "Very Satisfied.		our com	imunity.	Use a	10 poin	i scale,	wnere	1 mea	ns "Ve	ery	
	Very Dissatisfied= 1 2 3 4		5	-	6	7		8		9	Very Sati	sfied= 10
19.	Consider all your expectations of your commun											
	Expectations " and 10 means " Exceeds You exceeded your expectations?	Expe	ectatio	ns. 10	wnat ex	ctent na	s your	commu	ınıty iai	ien sn	ort or or	
	Falls Short= 1 2 3 4		5	r	6	7		8		9	Excee	ds= 10
20.	Imagine an ideal community. How closely doe where 1 is "Not Very Close to the Ideal" and						t ideal?	Pleas	e use a	a 10 pc	oint scale	9
	Not Very Close to the ideal and Not Very Close 1 2 3 4	10 15	5 El y	UIUSE (6 6	∂ai. 7		8		9	Very Cl	ose= 10
				Γ								

21.	On a scale where 1 means "Not at All Likely"	'and 10 n	neans	"Very	Likely,	' how li	kely a	re y	ou to	take t	the follo	wing a	ctions:
		Not at All Likely= 1	2	3	4	5	i	6		7	8	9	Very Likely=10
	Recommend the community as a place to live												
	Remain living in the community five years from now	,											
	Be a community volunteer												
	Encourage someone to start a business in the community												
	Support the current local government administration												
22.	On a scale where 1 is "Strongly Disagree" at community is:	nd 10 is "	Stron	gly Agı	ree," to	what d	egree	do y	you a	gree (or disagı	ee tha	t your
		Strongly Disagree= 1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	Strongly Agree= 10	Don't Know
	A safe place to live							[
	Enjoyable place for children												
	Enjoyable place for unmarried young adults												
	Enjoyable place for senior citizens					\Box							
	Enjoyable place for everyone else							Ī		$\overline{\Box}$			
	Physically attractive									Ī			
	A great place to live		П	П			\Box	Ī		П	П		П
	A great place to have a business			П	П	П	П	Ī					
	Growing responsibly	П				П	П	Ì		П			
						П	П	ľ					
	A safe place to bike and walk			H		Н	H	Ī	=	Н			
	A safe place to walk at night									Н			
	A perfect community for me												
Ша	The following questions are for a work long have you been living in this commun		only a	nd will	not be	used i	n any	wa	y to i	denti	fy you.		
110	One year or less 1-5 years	ity:		□ ₆₋₁	10 years					Mora i	han 10 y	oare	
Do	you own or rent/lease your residence?			0-7	o years				· — ·	viole i	nan 10 y	cars	
	Own Rent/Lease												
ls :	your place of employment located in your co	mmunity	?										
	Yes No, a differen	nt commun	ity	I a	m not cu	rrently e	employ	ed		Retire	d		
Wł	aat is your age group?												
		5 to 44			to 54		55	5 to (64		65	or over	
Wf	nich of the following categories best describ				ation? 「	٦,,,]_ ,		
W	Some high school High school graduat nich of the following categories includes you		ome co.		last vo:		ege gra	dua	te		Graduat	e degre	ee(s)
**1	\$25,000 or less \$25,001 to \$3		y		0,001 to		00			Over 9	\$100,000		
Ple	ease indicate your marital status:	00,000		φυ	0,00110	φ100,00	<i>,</i>		-Ш'	JVEI Y	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		farried/livin	ng with	partner			\square_{W}	′idov	ved/se	parate	ed/ divord	ed	
Ма	rk the boxes that describe the people living			•	than yo	urself							apply.
	Child(ren) age 12 or under Child(ren) ov	er age 12		Pa	rent age	65 or o	lder			Vone	of these		
Wł	nat is your gender?												
	Male Female												
То	which group(s) do you ong? White/Caucas	sian	∐ Ame	ck/Africa erican oanic/La		└─ Ir ∧	merica ndian/A lative/N	lask Jativ			Other		
						П	lawaiia	H					

Rate the following services provided by the City on the following attributes using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 mea	ns " Poor "
and 10 means "Excellent." If you are not familiar with the service, please answer "Don't Know."	

a to means excenent. If you are not familial with	Poor= 1	2 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Excellent=	Don't Know
Crime control										ũ	
Downtown development/new businesses											
Emergency medical services (ambulance)											
Firefighting services											
Library services											
Municipal court											
Neighborhood blight control											
Parks and recreation											
Pedestrian and bike friendly											
Rear yard rubbish pickup (Farms, City, Shores Only)											
Recycling services											
Rubbish pickup											
Snow removal											
Street lighting											
Street maintenance											
Tree maintenance and replacement											
Traffic control											
Water and sewer services											
Yard waste collection											

Think about the following services and rate how much priority the City should place on funding the service in the face of potential budgetary shortfalls using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means "Low Priority" and 10 means "High Priority."

ential budgetary shortfalls using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means " Low Priority" and 10 means " High Priority. "											
	Low Priority= 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	High Priority= 10	Don't Know
Crime control										Ü	
Downtown development/new businesses											
Emergency medical services (ambulance)											
Firefighting services											
Library services											
Municipal court											
Neighborhood blight control											
Parks and recreation											
Pedestrian and bike friendly											
Rear yard rubbish pickup (Farms, City, Shores Only)											
Recycling services											
Rubbish pickup											
Snow removal											
Street lighting											
Street maintenance											
Tree maintenance and replacement											
Traffic control											
Water and sewer services											
Yard waste collection											

Finally, if there is not adequate funding to provide each service below, please specify the *budgetary actions* you would support for each service. (*Mark all that apply.*)

ipport for each service. (Mark all that apply.)							
	Eliminate the Service	Reduce Service Levels	Reduce Staffing	Raise User Fees	Raise Taxes	Combine Service with Another Community	Streamline Operations
Crime control							
Downtown development/new businesses							
Emergency medical services (ambulance)							
Firefighting services							
Library services							
Municipal court							
Neighborhood blight control							
Parks and recreation							
Pedestrian and bike friendly							
Rear yard rubbish pickup (Farms, City, Shores Only)							
Recycling services							
Rubbish pickup							
Snow removal							
Street lighting							
Street maintenance							
Tree maintenance and replacement							
Water and sewer services							
Yard waste collection							

OPTION to replace grid above:

Because of the weak economy and falling property valuations, the City is looking at ways to address the budget shortfall. Below are changes that the City is considering. Do you support each of these potential changes?

Reduce the hours and days that city offices and facilities are open (may include city hall, other city offices, libraries, recreation centers, parks, etc.)	Yes - I support this idea	No - I do not support this idea	Not sure
Privatize some services (may include cemetery operations, golf course operations, etc.)			
Fund public safety through an assessment fee instead of through property tax levies			
Use red light camera revenues to reduce property tax revenues needed to balance the budget			
Reduce sidewalk and road maintenance			
Conserve street lighting (energy) costs			
Reduce roadway plantings/beautification projects			
Increase user fees to pay the cost of adult recreation programs (may include lawn bowling, softball, etc.)			
Reduce cultural arts and special needs funding to non-profit agencies			

Understanding the Charts: Community Questions — Long-term Drivers

High scoring areas that do not have a large impact on Satisfaction relative to the other areas. Action: May show over investment or under communication.

High impact areas where the organization received high scores from citizens. They have a high impact on Satisfaction if improved. Action: Continue Investment

Low scoring areas relative to the other areas with low impact on Satisfaction. Action: Limit investment

High impact on Satisfaction and a relatively low score. Action: Prioritize Investment to drive positive changes in outcomes.

Impact

Standard Portal Analysis: Mapping Strategic Priorities



Optional Budget Allocation Module: Rate Your Programs by Satisfaction, Importance and Cost



CobaltCommunityResearch.org

Optional Future Project Module: Rate Potential Projects by Support, Funding and Cost



CobaltCommunityResearch.org



CITY OF ROSEVILLE, MN

AGREEMENT FOR RESEARCH

September 28, 2010

Submitted by:

William SaintAmour Executive Director 1134 Municipal Way Lansing, MI 48917

T: (877) 888-0209 F: (517) 703-9704

E-mail: wsaintamour@cobaltcommunityresearch.org
Agreement No: G242062008000 City of Roseville, MN

Nondisclosure Statement: All materials contained in this agreement are the confidential and proprietary property of Cobalt Community Research. The information contained herein is provided by Cobalt Community Research for evaluation by the Partner. Dissemination to other parties is prohibited.

SECTION I: WORK STATEMENT

SCOPE

Cobalt Community Research (Cobalt) is pleased to provide this contract for research collaboration between Cobalt and the City of Roseville, MN (the Partner), having a business address of 2660 Civic Center Dr., Roseville, MN 55113, using the Cobalt Citizen Engagement and Priority Assessment SM powered by technology behind the American Customer Satisfaction Index SM (ACSI) and CFI Group USA LLC. Results are targeted for late September to early October 2010.

Cobalt Community Research (www.cobaltcommunityresearch.org) is a 501c3 nonprofit organization with a mission to provide research and educational tools that help local governments and other nonprofit organizations thrive as changes emerge in the economic, demographic and social landscape. Cobalt is located at 1134 Municipal Way, Lansing, Michigan 48917.

OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of the research will be as follows:

- 1. Support budget and strategic planning decisions
- 2. Explore service assumptions to ensure baseline service levels are well understood
- 3. Identify which services provide the greatest leverage on citizens' overall satisfaction and how satisfaction, in turn, influences the community's image and citizen behaviors such as volunteering, remaining in the community, recommending it to others, and supporting the current administration.
- 4. Measure improvements by tracking performance over time
- 5. Benchmark performance against a standardized performance index regionally and nationally


FROM INFORMATION TO ACTION

The output from the research supports development of sensible action plans. The improvement priority map shown below illustrates how such results can be displayed. It combines community component scores and impact information from the research model and serves as the starting point for action planning. Generally speaking, the critical areas to improve are those where impact is high and performance is low (lower right quadrant). In this example, citizens are essentially telling us that community leadership is falling short in these important areas and improvements there will focus resources where they have the greatest impact on satisfaction and desired behavioral outcomes.



Once the high-level priorities have been identified, a more specific understanding of the issues at hand is provided by looking at the individual questions that were used to measure each component. The Cobalt portal shows how one can begin "peeling the onion" and identify the operational and/or tactical issues that need to be addressed. Such results are provided for every "component" included in the survey.

In addition, the Partner may add a 1 page supplemental module measuring satisfaction and importance of up to 10 community-specific services and programs to support the budgeting and planning process and engage citizens in important decisions on where limited resources should be applied. The illustration below provides an example of results from the budget allocation module:



Also, the Partner may add an optional module on potential future projects to assess interest level and willingness to fund. In the example below, the bike trail shows nearly 90 percent of residents would like to have the trail implemented, and more than 80 percent are willing to fund such a project through higher fees or taxes.



Also, the Partner may add an optional module to continue up to 10 questions from previous surveys to update key measurements from past research efforts.

PROCESS

Cobalt proposes a five-step process for the development of the Citizen Satisfaction Study.

Step 1 – Kick-off Discussions:

This preliminary step aims at refining the objectives, scope, timeline, and key deliverables for the project. Informational needs are confirmed. The sampling methodology will also be finalized during this step.

Step 2 – Questionnaire Development:

Based on the input received during Step 1, Cobalt will develop supplemental questions to be added to the core questionnaire, which will be presented and discussed with the project lead to ensure that the questions included in the survey are aligned with community needs.

Step 3 – Survey Deployment:

The questionnaire will be administered to a random sample of citizens. At this time, Cobalt recommends collecting the surveys through two waves of a mail survey along with an online portal. Deployment and data collection is generally completed within 6 weeks. Data collection via telephone could also be considered to reduce collection time, but at higher cost.

Step 4 – Modeling & Analysis:

Cobalt and CFI Group will analyze the data using the ACSI-based methodology, which quantifies the relationships between the various elements of the survey.

Step 5 – Reporting:

Findings will be communicated to the project lead and other key decision makers by teleconference or WebEx. Upon request, a summary report in PowerPoint will be provided to the project lead. Access to detailed results will be provided to the project lead through a secure online portal.

TASKS

Cobalt will provide the following services included in the fixed rate:

- Core survey
- Cover letter
- Online link and portal to allow respondents to complete the survey from a link on the Partner's Web site
- Access to a secure, online portal to review core survey results, compare to peer groups, and download tables into MS Excel
- Maintenance of the local government's data on the portal for 24 months
- Assistance creating supplemental custom questions
- Three modules of up to 10 questions each to measure satisfaction, importance, support for funding up to 10 community-specific services and programs, measure support for up to 10 future projects, and continue up to 10 questions from past surveys.
- Supplemental report in MS Excel detailing custom question results and cross tabulation across demographic questions not integrated into results portal

Technical assistance in understanding the results by phone and e-mail

Cobalt will provide the following service with out-of-pocket printing and postage costs passed to the Partner.

• Two mailings to a sample of residents based on a list that the Partner has provided. Mailings include an initial mailing of the survey and a second mailing of the survey to those who have not responded. Includes data entry of survey results.

ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. The Partner shall provide resident contact data using the Cobalt Citizen Satisfaction Survey Contact Template in MS Excel.
- 2. Cobalt will not charge for phone consultation for survey design, preparation of the mailing list, or explanation of results.
- 3. Cobalt cannot guarantee survey response levels. Typical projects have a response rate of 25% to 35%; however, a minimum of 100 completed surveys is required for accurate analysis. Cobalt will automatically conduct reminder mailings to ensure a minimum of 100, which provides a confidence interval of approximately +/- 3.3% with a 90% confidence. The Partner may designate a higher minimum.
- 4. Cobalt shall bill and the Partner agrees to pay all out-of-pocket printing and postage costs associated with a mailing.
- 5. The Partner is responsible for prompt review and response to draft questions and research materials that are in addition to the core Cobalt Citizen Satisfaction Survey, and the Partner is responsible for prompt approval to release such research materials. If the Partner fails to notify Cobalt of project status or provide the contact data or approval or edits to research materials within 30 days of receipt from Cobalt, the partner agrees to pay Cobalt 50% of the remaining fees, and the project will go into an "inactive" status. The Partner has an additional 30 days to reactivate the project. If the project is not reactivated in that time, the project will be closed, and future work will be charged as a new project.
- 6. All research is subject to imprecision based on scope, sampling error, response error, etc. Survey results have an overall margin of error, and the margin of error for subdivided data varies by question and is higher. All research is designed to reduce uncertainty, but it can never eliminate it. The Partner must evaluate all information thoroughly and independently and balance it with other sources of information, legal requirements, safety standards, and professional judgment before taking action based on research information.

COBALT COMMUNITY RESEARCH TECHNICAL APPROACH

Cobalt will provide research services that comply with generally accepted research principals and that comply with the requirements of national services such as the ACSI. In addition, projects and services will be lead by Cobalt staff certified by the Market Research Association's Professional Researcher Certification (PRC) program, which is endorsed by major national and international research organizations such as the AMA (American Marketing Association), the ARF (Advertising Research Foundation), CMOR (Council of Marketing and Opinion Research), IMRO (Interactive Marketing Research Organization), MRII (Marketing Research Institute International), the RIVA Training Institute and the Burke Institute.

PRICING

The period of performance for this engagement begins immediately after contract approval. Pricing for deliverables are as follows:

- Mail-based Survey Package with Budget Module, Future Projects Module, Past Survey Questions Module, and Executive Summary Report in MS PowerPoint: \$6,300
- Plus distribution below:
 - Production and postage for an initial mailing of the 5-6 page survey to random sample of 1500 residents, a second mailing of the survey to those who have not responded, and business reply postage based on a 25% response rate.
 Actual costs may vary based on final counts, page counts, postal discounts, and response levels. Includes online portal.
 Estimated cost: \$3,300.

Total Estimate: \$9,600

- The Partner may add other non-demographic question modules (such as Communications Module or expand a contracted module for an additional 10 questions) and open ended questions for \$600 each.
- The Partner may add additional custom demographic questions for \$750 each
- Pricing valid for 60 days from the date of this document.

PAYMENT

Payment shall be made according to the following milestone schedule:

- 50% of quoted amount of the survey engagement upon the signing of the contract
- 50% upon delivery of results
- Invoicing will be within 30 days of each milestone above.

SECTION II: CONTRACTUAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. TERM OF CONTRACT

The contract shall be effective as of the date this agreement is signed by both parties. Unless terminated earlier as set forth in Section 5 below, the contract shall remain in full force and effect for a period of twelve (12) months (the "initial Term").

2. COBALT' RESPONSIBILITIES

Cobalt shall provide the Services described in the Statement of Work in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. In the course of providing the Services, Cobalt shall deliver to Partner all deliverables arising from or related to the Services and agreed upon by the parties. Each Supplemental Statement of Work entered into by the parties shall be numbered sequentially (e.g. Statement of Work #1, etc.) and shall not be binding until signed by the authorized representative of each party. In the event of a conflict between any signed Statement of Work and this Agreement, the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall prevail. Any change in the scope of Services and Fees shall be agreed upon in writing by the parties.

Cobalt will assume responsibility for all contractual activities whether or not Cobalt performs them. Cobalt is the sole point of contact with regard to contractual matters, including payment of any and all charges resulting from the contract. The Partner reserves the right to interview key personnel assigned by Cobalt to this project and to recommend reassignment of personnel deemed unsatisfactory by the Partner. Cobalt may delegate any duties under this contract to a subcontractor. If any part of the work is subcontracted, Cobalt shall identify upon written request the proposed subcontractor by firm name, address and contact person, and provide the Partner with a complete description of all work to be subcontracted together with descriptive information about the subcontractor's organization and ability to perform the work. Cobalt is responsible for ensuring that subcontractors adhere to all applicable provisions of the contract.

3. CONFIDENTIALITY

Cobalt and the Partner shall treat all information provided by one another as confidential. Except in the course of, and as necessary to, providing services pursuant to this agreement, neither party shall disclose any confidential information without the other party's consent, unless required by law. Prior to any such disclosure, if not otherwise prohibited by law, the party required to disclose shall notify the other party at least 5 days prior to the date that it intends to make such disclosure. confidential information includes any and all documents, materials and information (whether oral or written, including electronic media format), including but not limited to member and resident data, client lists, fee schedules, and statements of policies, procedures, and business methods.

"Data", as used in this Section 3, means the information contained in survey responses received from Partner's residents or members, but not the surveys themselves. The Partner agrees that identity information about individual survey respondents will not be returned to the Partner to protect the confidentially of the individuals who responded to the survey. In addition, the Partner agrees to protect individual identities by protecting any data or analysis of data that allows individual identities to be determined. "Measurements", as used in this Section, means the deliverables to be delivered to Partner by Cobalt under any particular Statement of Work. The Partner shall own the Data and Measurements. Partner hereby grants to Cobalt and to CFI Group USA, LLC ("CFI") a perpetual, non-exclusive, royalty free, fully paid-up, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, to use such Data and Measurements in the performance of the Services and in the creation of indices which are compiled from aggregated Data and Measurements (the "Aggregated Indices"). The Aggregated Indices will contain Partner's Data and Measurements; however, the Aggregated Indices will not contain individually identifiable data regarding Partner or its residents/members and will not allow a user thereof to ascertain or otherwise isolate data regarding the Partner or its residents or members. Cobalt and CFI shall not publish or disclose to any third party Partner's individual Data or Measurements without the prior written consent of Partner. Partner shall have no ownership interest in the Aggregated Indices. Cobalt and CFI has the right to use Partner's name in describing the participants of the Aggregated Indices. In addition, Cobalt and CFI has the right to use the Partner's name in identifying best-in-class organizations that produce high satisfaction levels.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the parties acknowledge that the information generated pursuant to this agreement is subject to the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act set forth in Minnesota Statutes Chapter 13. The parties agree that this agreement shall be subject to, and the parties shall comply with, the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act with respect to the information generated under this contract. The parties further agree that the City may disclose such information to others to the extent it deems necessary to use the survey results obtained pursuant to this contract.

4. INDEMNIFICATION

Cobalt shall be held to the exercise of reasonable care in carrying out the provisions of the contract. The Partner agrees to indemnify, subject to the limitation of liability set forth below, to

defend and hold harmless Cobalt, its trustees, officers, agents and employees from and against any and all claims, damages, losses, liabilities, suits, costs, charges, expenses (including, but not limited to reasonable attorney fees and court costs), judgments, fines and penalties, of any nature whatsoever, arising from the performance of duties to be performed by the Partner under the contract, to the extent not attributable to negligence, willful misconduct, or unethical practice by Cobalt.

Cobalt warrants that it shall provide the Services in a diligent and workmanlike manner and shall employ due care and attention in providing the Services. However, Partner agrees that Cobalt shall not be liable on account of any errors, omissions, delays, or losses unless caused by Cobalt's gross negligence or willful misconduct. In no event shall either party be liable for indirect, special, or consequential damages. In no event shall the total aggregate liability of either party for any claims, losses, or damages arising under this agreement and services performed hereunder exceed the total charges paid to Cobalt during the term, even if the party has been advised of the possibility of such potential claim, loss, or damage. The foregoing limitation of liability and exclusion of certain damages shall apply regardless of the success or effectiveness of other remedies.

5. Modification and Cancellation

The contract may not be modified, amended, extended, or augmented, except by a writing executed by the parties. Any change in services requested by the Partner may result in price changes by Cobalt. In the event that revised prices are not acceptable to the Partner, the contract may be canceled. Either party with 30-business days' written notice to the other may cancel the contract. In the event of cancellation by either party, the Partner shall be responsible for all fees due and payable under the contract as of the date of notice of termination.

6. GOVERNING LAW AND ARBITRATION

The contract shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Minnesota. In the event of any dispute, claim, question, or disagreement arising from or relating to the contract or the breach thereof, the parties shall use their best efforts to settle the dispute, claim, question, or disagreement. To this effect, they shall consult and negotiate with each other in good faith and, recognizing their mutual interests, attempt to reach a just and equitable solution satisfactory to both parties. If they do not reach such solution within a period of 60 business days, then, upon notice by either party to the other, all disputes, claims, questions, or differences shall be finally settled by arbitration administered by the American Arbitration Association in accordance with the provisions of its Commercial Arbitration Rules, and judgment on the award rendered by the arbitrator(s) may be entered in any Minnesota court having jurisdiction thereof..

7. PRICE AND PAYMENT TERMS

The Partner shall pay the fees identified in any Statement of Work(s) executed by the parties. Unless otherwise agreed to in a Statement of Work, Cobalt shall invoice Partner for Services at the beginning of the Term and upon delivery of results. Payment from the Partner shall be due upon receipt of the invoice. Adjustment for any billing errors or Partner credits shall be made monthly. Cobalt may apply a monthly delinquency charge on amounts not paid within 30 days of the date of the Partner's receipt of the invoice, which charge shall be equal to five percent (5%) of any unpaid amount. Partner agrees to pay any applicable taxes and any travel costs and professional fees that Cobalt may incur from Partner-requested travel. No amount for any Partner requested travel shall be payable unless both parties agree to such travel in writing.

8. ACCEPTANCE OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The failure of a party to insist upon strict adherence to any term of the contract shall not be considered a waiver or deprive the party of the right thereafter to insist upon strict adherence to that term, or any other term, of the contract. Each provision of the contract shall be deemed to be severable from all other provisions of the contract and, if one or more of the provisions of the contract shall be declared invalid, the remaining provisions of the contract shall remain in full force and effect.

9. Notice

Any notice required or permitted to be made or given by either party hereto pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed effective if sent by such party to the other party by mail, overnight delivery, postage or other delivery charges prepaid, to the addresses set forth above, and to the attention of the Executive Director for Cobalt and Partner's designated contact person. Either party may change its address by giving notice to the other party stating its desire to so change its address.

10. SURVIVAL.

Sections 3, 4, 6 and this Section 10 shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

BINDING AGREEMENT

This agreement includes all of the terms and conditions agreed to by the parties. Any changes to these terms and conditions must be made in writing and signed by both parties to be effective.

ACCEPTANCE

City of Roseville

This agreement shall be deemed accepted only after it has been signed by a representative of the Partner and thereafter signed by a representative of Cobalt. Acceptance may be made by facsimile transmission and the agreement executed in one or more counterparts, each which when fully executed, shall be deemed to be an original, and all of which shall be deemed to be the same agreement.

Nondisclosure Statement: All materials contained in this agreement are the confidential and proprietary property of Cobalt Community Research. The information contained herein is provided by Cobalt Community Research for evaluation by the Partner. Dissemination to other parties is prohibited.

By:		
,	Mayor	Date
Ву:	City Manager	 Date
	Sty Pathinger	Date
Dil	Vain St. amoun	September 28, 2010
Cobalt Comr	nunity Research, Executive Director	Date